



**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

FLORIN DUMITRU,

Defendant.

Case No. 25-MJ-3335

ORDER OF DETENTION

I.

On June 2, 2025, Defendant Florin Dumitru— assisted by a Romanian Language interpreter - made his initial appearance on the criminal complaint filed in this case. Daniel Koffmann, a member of the indigent defense panel, was appointed to represent Defendant. The government was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Andrew Brown. Defendant submitted on the recommendation of detention in the report prepared by the U.S. Probation and Pretrial Services Officer.

☐ On motion of the Government [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)] in a case allegedly involving a narcotics or controlled substance offense with maximum sentence of ten or more years.

☒ On motion by the Government or on the Court's own motion [18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2)] in a case allegedly involving a serious risk that the defendant will flee.

The Court concludes that the Government is not entitled to a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required and the safety of any person or the community [18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2)].

II.

The Court finds that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure: ☒ the appearance of the defendant as required.

☒ the safety of any person or the community.

III.

The Court has considered: (a) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence, a Federal crime of terrorism, or involves a minor victim or a controlled substance, firearm, explosive, or destructive device; (b) the weight of evidence against the defendant; (c) the history and characteristics of the defendant; and (d) the nature and seriousness of

1 the danger to any person or the community. [18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)] . The Court also
2 considered the report and recommendation prepared by United States Probation
3 and Pretrial Services.

4 IV.

5 The Court bases its conclusions on the following:

6 As to risk of non-appearance:

- 7 ☒ family ties outside the United States – wife and adult children in
8 Romania; history of foreign travel;
- 9 ☒ no ties to the Central District of California - has been in Los Angeles
10 for 10 days, previously lived in Canada;
- 11 ☒ No legal status in the United States – admitted to entering the United
12 States illegally
- 13 ☒ unverified background information
- 14 ☒ unstable residence – staying with friend but could not provide name or
15 address
- 16 ☒ allegations in the criminal complaint involve the use of false
17 identifications and Defendant was found – at the time of arrest – in possession of
18 foreign identification documents and fraudulent foreign identification documents.
- 19 ☒ did not comply with directions from law enforcement executing
20 search warrant at the residence – refused to come out of his bedroom
- 21 ☒ use of alias and possession of identity cards in alias name.
- 22 ☒ subject to final order of removal in June 2022;
- 23 ☒ active warrant for identity theft issued by LAPD in September 2022
- 24

25 As to danger to the community:

- 26 ☒ allegations in the complaint involve a conspiracy to commit access
27 device fraud in connection with a scheme with others to place skimming devices at
28

1 ATM machines and the use of false identifications to access funds in bank
2 accounts and make withdrawals from the EBT accounts of numerous victims.

3 ☒ outstanding felony warrant for identity theft (see above)

4
5 V.

6
7 The defendant will be committed to the custody of the Attorney General for
8 confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from
9 persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal.

10 The defendant will be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation
11 with counsel. On order of a Court of the United States or on request of any
12 attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility in
13 which defendant is confined will deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal
14 for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

15 [18 U.S.C. § 3142(i)]

16
17 Dated: June 2, 2025

18 _____
/s/

19 ALKA SAGAR
20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28